



North American LNG 2006

Market and technology analysis

“Our ability to expand our use of liquefied natural gas is limited because today we have just five receiving terminals...around the United States.”

- George W. Bush – US President

Reference Code: LNG/0106

Publication Date: February 2006

Order form after page 11



ABOUT UTILIS ENERGY

ABOUT UTILIS ENERGY

[Utilis Energy](#) is a new kind of research firm.

With offices in New York City and London, we specialize in providing the global energy industry with market research of unparalleled quality.

The Utilis team is comprised of highly qualified professionals, all of whom have developed their expertise through years of experience in the energy sector.

Our mission...

Our mission, simply stated, is to help our clients make better-informed business decisions.

We provide our clients with:

- Timely industry information and analysis
 - Unmatched insight from energy specialists
 - Client focused support structure
-

Utilis Energy LLC All Rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publisher, Utilis Energy LLC.

The facts of this report are believed to be correct at the time of publication but cannot be guaranteed. Please note that the findings, conclusions and recommendations that Utilis Energy delivers will be based on information gathered in good faith from both primary and secondary sources, whose accuracy we are not always in a position to guarantee. As such Utilis Energy can accept no liability whatever for actions taken based on any information that may subsequently prove to be incorrect.

North American LNG 2006

© Utilis Energy, Published 02/2006

Page 2

This report is a licensed product and is not to be photocopied

CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive summary	9
Introduction	12
Existing import terminals	12
US natural gas market fundamentals	16
LNG economics	16
Import terminal cost considerations	17
US natural gas demand	19
US natural gas production	20
US natural gas prices	20
US LNG demand	21
LNG pricing	28
Global natural gas demand	28
Conclusions	29
US LNG developments	30
Introduction	30
Energy Policy Act	30
Conclusions	33
North American LNG project summary	34

CONTENTS

Gulf Coast projects	36
East Coast projects	38
West Coast projects	39
LNG project overview	39
Project opposition	40
Conclusions	41
Offshore regas technologies	43
Introduction	43
Catalyst for development	44
Offshore LNG receiving technologies	45
Gasification systems utilized by offshore facilities	46
Offshore economics	48
Conclusions	49
Gravity-based systems	50
Key points	50
Introduction	50
LNG projects planning to use gravity-based terminals	53
GBS engineering firms	55
Conclusions	57
Existing oil/gas platforms	59
Key points	59

CONTENTS

Introduction	59
Platform conversion projects	60
Conclusions	70
Floating Storage & Regasification Units (FSRU)	72
Key points	72
Introduction	72
Operational issues	74
FSRU projects	78
FSRU economics	80
Conclusions	82
Regasification vessels	83
Key points	83
Introduction	83
Excelerate Energy – Energy Bridge System	84
Advanced Production and Loading	88
Hoegh LNG	88
Conclusions	89
Recommendations & Conclusions	90
Introduction	90
Summary of offshore LNG strengths and weaknesses	91

CONTENTS

FIGURES

Figure 1:	US natural gas production, 1990-2004	20
Figure 2:	US wellhead natural gas prices, 1990-2004	21
Figure 3:	US LNG sector demand, 2015	22
Figure 4:	Flow of US natural gas imports/exports, 2004	23
Figure 5:	US LNG imports by terminal, 2004	26
Figure 6:	US LNG imports by country of origin, 2004 (MMcf)	27
Figure 7:	Average price of LNG imports, 1990-2004 (\$/Mcf)	28
Figure 8:	Current/planned deepwater LNG ports	31
Figure 9:	Proposed/existing North American LNG projects, Jan. 2006	34
Figure 10:	Offshore LNG facilities	43
Figure 11:	LNG regas import terminals segmented by water depth	46
Figure 12:	Offshore LNG regasification systems	47
Figure 13:	Gravity based LNG regas structure	51
Figure 14:	Isola di Porto Levante LNG receiving terminal	54
Figure 15:	Aker Kvaerner depiction of a GBS LNG facility	56
Figure 16:	Saipem offshore GBS LNG facility	57
Figure 17:	Existing platform conversion to LNG terminal	59
Figure 18:	Crystal Energy's Platform Grace	60
Figure 19:	Crystal Clearwater Port Project	61
Figure 20:	Elevation view of Crystal Clearwater LNG project	62

CONTENTS

Figure 21:	MPEH LNG receiving terminal	64
Figure 22:	MPEH - upper deck configuration	66
Figure 23:	MPEH - middle deck configuration	66
Figure 24:	MPEH - lower deck configuration	67
Figure 25:	MPEH - elevation view	68
Figure 26:	Spectrum Energy Services – Apollo LNG terminal	69
Figure 27:	LNG carrier berthed to an FSRU	73
Figure 28:	LNG containment systems	74
Figure 29:	FSRU - side by side offloading configuration	75
Figure 30:	FSRU to shore LNG pipeline interface	75
Figure 31:	Sloshing phenomena shown in membrane tank	76
Figure 32:	Location of BHP Billiton’s Cabrillio Port LNG project	78
Figure 33:	FSRU vs. Onshore LNG regas operating costs	81
Figure 34:	Excelerate Energy – Energy Bridge System	84
Figure 35:	Excelerate EBRV pipeline interconnections	85
Figure 36:	APL submerged offloading buoy	86
Figure 37:	Energy Bridge mooring system components	87

TABLES

Table 1:	Summary of offshore regas characteristics	11
Table 2:	Existing US LNG terminals	13
Table 3:	Basic LNG Economics	17

CONTENTS

Table 4:	US monthly waterborne LNG imports (Bcf)	24
Table 5:	US LNG imports, monthly averages (Bcf/day)	24
Table 6:	LNG cargo diversions from US terminals, 2005 (Bcf)	25
Table 7:	Source of US LNG Imports, 1995-2000 (Bcf)	27
Table 8:	North American LNG project details, Jan. 2006 (a)	35
Table 9:	North American LNG project details, Jan. 2006 (b)	36
Table 10:	Offshore/Onshore LNG regas terminal cost comparison	48
Table 11:	Benefits of concrete and steel LNG storage	52
Table 12:	MPEH operational expectations	65
Table 13:	Typical FSRU design and operating specifications	74
Table 14:	FSRU operating costs (US \$)	81
Table 15:	Summary of offshore regas characteristics	91

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

North America, and in particular the US, requires additional sources of energy to meet expected increases in demand over the decades to come. While it is commonly known that the US has imported the majority of its crude oil for some time, it is a lesser known fact that US natural gas production has been unable to keep pace with domestic demand and that incremental increases in natural gas imports from Canada are not expected to offset future demand growth.

Such market fundamentals, in addition to recent price increases, create a favorable environment for increased imports of LNG – which, during 2004, amounted to 652 Bcf, roughly half the expected future demand. However, greater reliance on LNG is stymied by the lack of sufficient capacity at US regasification terminals. Only five such terminals are currently operation in the US and regulatory hurdles and opposition from both public and private bodies has hindered the construction of additional regasification infrastructure.

The US LNG market underwent a fundamental change when in August 2005, President George W. Bush signed the Energy Policy Act. This Act clarified the Federal government's role in the siting and operation of onshore and near shore LNG import terminals and gives the FERC the ultimate authority over states on LNG issues.

By the end of 2005, the FERC had approved twelve LNG terminals and the US Coast Guard had approved two. Most of these proposed LNG terminals will be sited in the Gulf of Mexico, causing relatively little opposition from a region already accustomed to abundant petroleum industry infrastructure. Twenty more facilities are currently proposed, twelve under the authority of the FERC and the eight offshore under the authority of the Coast Guard.

To facilitate the importation and regasification of LNG there has been a rapid expansion in the range of alternative offshore LNG importation methods. These new methods are expected to compete with conventional onshore regasification terminals.

The catalyst for alternative, offshore LNG regasification centers on several issues and concerns shared by individuals, communities and governments. These can be broadly defined as:

- Environmental;
- Security and safety; and
- Regulatory.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

One of the most appealing features of offshore LNG import terminals is their lack of environmental impact on shorelines and population centers. An offshore LNG import terminal is a relatively small and isolated installation and in the unlikely event of an accident, few would be affected.

The enhanced security and safety of offshore LNG infrastructure is the result of their remoteness. Access to offshore LNG facilities can be monitored and restricted to a much greater extent than onshore installations.

US offshore LNG facilities are under the jurisdiction of the US Coast Guard not the FERC. The US Coast Guard is less bureaucratic and more efficient than the FERC generally, approving LNG project applications in one year, while it usually takes the FERC 18 months or more to approve an onshore facility.

Offshore receiving technologies can be defined by the following categories:

- Offshore gravity based structures (GBS) - A GBS LNG import terminal consists of concrete or steel caissons located on the seabed. This type of installation is totally self-supporting with respect to its operation, utilities and power generation;
- Platform based import terminals – The utilization of existing oil and gas platform structures, converting them to accommodate LNG deliveries;
- Floating storage regas units (FSRU) – An LNG import terminal concept consists of a purpose built, permanently moored steel structure with LNG carriers shuttling between an export facility and the import site; and
- Regasification vessels - A standard LNG carrier modified in order to enable the vessel to discharge regasified LNG to a subsea pipeline, through an internal turret arrangement connected to an offshore mooring buoy.

Each of these offshore regasification technologies have their own specific merits and disadvantages and their use will be highly dependent on various environmental factors, such as water depth and other logistics.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table 1: Summary of offshore regas characteristics				
	GBS	Platform	FSRU	Regas Vessel
Advantage	Concrete construction	Existing asset	Operates at greater depth	No visible fixed facility
Disadvantage	Shallow Depth operation	No storage	Loading systems/slo shing	Requires specialized technology
Cost	\$650m	\$400-800m	\$400-800m	\$200m
Time to operation	2-3 years	1.5 years	3 years	2 - 2.5 years

Source: Utilis Energy [UTILIS ENERGY](#)

Evolving LNG market fundamentals, regulatory changes within the US government and innovative offshore regasification technologies are setting the stage for a promising future for LNG imports into the United States. These imports will play a significant role in helping the US greater diversify its sources of global energy supply.

This study explores the development of the US LNG market and its increasingly important role for America's energy security. The study also considers other issues and provides a detailed evaluation of new offshore regas technologies.

Report Fax Order Form

I would like to purchase ____ copy(ies) of **North American LNG 2006: Market and offshore regasification technology review** at US \$495 per copy.

(Please indicate whether you would like a "printable pdf" or "hard copy" of the publication as appropriate)

Electronic delivery ("printable" pdf) Hard copy

*Please add \$50 domestic
or \$70 international to
cover hard copy shipping*

Corporate license available for US \$995 Please call for more details

TOTAL TO BE BILLED TO CREDIT CARD :US\$ _____

Purchaser Information:

Name: _____ Title: _____

Company: _____

Address: _____ tel: _____

_____ email: _____

_____ fax: _____

Signature: _____

Credit Card (Visa / MC): Number: _____

Exp. _____

Please fax this form to the Power Marketing Association at
201.767.1928 or to order a "printable pdf" online now [click here](#)